Mr. Venkatakrishniah.—The Budget allotment of Rs. 6 lakhs to the University is quite inadequate to meet the demands. Necessary activities of the colleges are also curtailed for want of funds. The grant may therefore be increased to Rs. 10 lakhs.

The proceeds of the Income-tax arising out of the revision of the rates may be utilised for University and other grades of education.

Mr. Sanjeeviah opposed the proposal and said that the increase of allotment for the University was unnecessary as only a small percentage of students entered the college classes and that more funds, on the other hand, was necessary for the promotion of primary education in the State.

Mr. Seshaiya observed that having started the University, we must spend to derive the maximum advantage.

Dewan.—The policy of Government is laid down in my Budget speech.

SUBJECT No. 73.

Fixing the annual State grant to the University.

Messrs. S. R. Balakrishna Rao and M. Venkatakrishnaiya, Mysore University.

MISCELLANEOUS—(Representative Assembly).

(Nos. 74 and 75.)

Mr. H. Krishnasastry.—We were under the impression that as promised in the announcement the Representative Assembly would be given a definite place in the Constitution. We have been furnished with only copies of recommendations and as such many particulars contained in the English Report are not known to us and the recommendations do not show that any such definite place as that promised has been assigned to the Assembly. The Assembly must be an aid to the Executive in carrying out the administration. The Assembly must be a representative institution containing purely members chosen by the people. But the system of nomination proposed by the Reform Scheme is against this accepted principle. It is true that somewhere the Committee say that the nominated members would not be eligible to vote. This is immaterial.

Again provision is made for the election of members from the Assembly to another body. If members are thus to be chosen by the Assembly to another body, that body would be supreme. The Representative Assembly and the Legislative Council must be two different and distinct entities, and the proposal of election from the Assembly must not be sanctioned. This question was discussed in the year 1907. But somehow or other the system was then adopted. The system owes its existence to an executive order. The Assembly will hereafter be a statutory body and as such each body should be separate from the other.

SUBJECT No. 74.

Changes in the Representative Assembly under the Reforms Scheme announced by the Government.

Messrs. H. Krishna Sastry, Krishnarajpete Taluk; Chennabasappa and G. K. Venkataseshaiya, Gundlupet Taluk; V. K. Nanjundaiya, Gundlupete Municipality; Siddagangappa, Ramasamudra Municipality and Doraswamy Iyengar, Chamrajnagar Taluk; N. Gurulingappa, K. Basalingappa, Honnali Taluk and Y. K. Virupaiya, Nyamti Municipality; Anantalakshminaranappa, Anantarajappa and Veerappaji, Tumkur Taluk; K. Suryanarayana Rao, Tumkur District Board and K. S. Gundappa, Koratagere Sub-Taluk.

The scheme proposes to give Legislative and Taxation powers to the Assembly. As the Representative Assembly is an older institution it must have full powers over these matters. As regards Legislation, the Bills should after discussion in the Assembly